Department of Defense Office of the Inspector General



2006 Evaluation of the DoD Voting Assistance Program (General Observations) Report No. IE-2007-004

> Prepared by: CDR V. W. 'Web' Freeman I&E Senior Program Analyst





■ 10 U.S.C. 1566

- DoDIG
 - Conduct periodic (annual) assessments of compliance & effectiveness
 - Requirement eliminated under Sec 583a FY07 NDAA
 - Reporting requirement remains
- Service IGs shall conduct
 - Annual review of <u>effectiveness</u>
 - Annual review of <u>compliance</u>
 - Submit report on results to DoDIG

DoDD 1000.4

Provides programmatic guidance & requirements



2006 Scope

Assess FVAP at 10 DoD Installations:

- Interviewed Installation Voting Assistance Officers (7) and Unit Voting Assistance Officers (20)
- Web-based survey of active duty personnel and eligible dependents at inspected installations.
- 20% of installation assigned personnel invited to participate in survey - 22 questions;
- All installation survey submissions received 2106 Valid responses = 8.2% response rate



2006 Observations

- Installations with senior civilian IVAO generally have most effective programs
- Easy/direct internet accessibility to voting information is problematic for Army/Navy, good for Air Force/Marine Corps
- Knowledge/Use of FVAP Website by VAOs = good;
- Volunteers (VAOs) generally have more compliant, and by extension more effective programs than appointees
- Designation of single 'well-advertised' site for voting information inconsistent
- FVAP Workshop attendees had significantly more compliant programs and were more knowledgeable than non-attendees



Summary - 2006

- Observations during FY 06 site visits similar to FY 05
- Sites with more compliant/effective programs maximized use of mass electronic dissemination methods and took advantage of existing training evolutions to get word out
- Command and individual VAO interest key to successful program;
- DODD 1000.4 rqmt (5.2.1.5.3) to deliver FPCA in-hand perceived as administrative burden, resulting in minimal compliance;
- Training/information programs (voter level) inconsistent



Observations – Service IG Reports

- All Service IG reports received <u>after</u> 31 Jan statutory deadline
- None of the reports provided specific reference to both compliance & effectiveness
 - Some addressed compliance
 - Some addressed effectiveness





- DoDIG still has requirement to submit report to Congress
- DoDIG report will be based solely on Service IG reports
 - A summary and analysis of submissions
- DoDIG recommendations may be directed to the Services rather than USD (P&R) /FVAP Program Office as in the past





Evaluation of the DoD Voting Assistance Program



Principal POCs

Mr. Deane Williams, Team Leader

- **703 604 9152**
- Frederick.Williams@dodig.mi
- Ms. Beverly Cornish, Analyst
 - **703 604 9127**
 - Beverly.cornish@dodig.mil
- CDR V. W. 'Web' Freeman, USN, Analyst
 - **703 604 9168**
 - vfreeman@dodig.mil